

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/771,898 02/04/2004		02/04/2004	Dennis Piper	AFF013USPT02	3891		
23403	7590	06/15/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SHERRILL 4756 BANN			LINDSEY, R	LINDSEY, RODNEY M			
SUITE 212	INOAVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WHITE BEA	R LAKE	, MN 55110-3205	3765	3765			

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/771,898		PIPER ET AL.					
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner		Art Unit					
•		Rodney M. Lindse		3765					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is les: - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than t earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a reply e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory minifill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ty. communication.				
Status									
1) Responsive to communication	ation(s) filed on								
2a) This action is FINAL.	, ,—	action is non-fina							
,									
closed in accordance with	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims					٠				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pendi	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.									
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
8) Claim(s) are subject	t to restriction and/or	r election requirer	ment.						
Application Papers									
9)⊠ The specification is objected	ed to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04</u>	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11) I he oath or declaration is o	objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·								
2. Certified copies of t 3. Copies of the certification from the	None of: he priority documents he priority documents ed copies of the prior International Bureau	s have been rece s have been rece rity documents ha u (PCT Rule 17.2	ived. ived in Application ive been receive (a)).	on No ed in this Nationa	l Stage				
* See the attached detailed C	mice action for a list	oi the certified co	ppies not receive	· ·					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		41 🗆	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawii	ng Review (PTO-948)	·	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04.	PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· —	Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,898

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 15, line 1 "313" it appears should be --413--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1, 8, 11, 14-17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lovell. With respect to claim 1 note inner and outer layers 11, 12 or 21, 22 frictionally sliding one with respect to the other. With respect to claim 8 note the position shown in Figure 2. With respect to claim 11 note the use of elastic material to form 23 (see column 5, line 12). With respect to claim 14 note the use of elastic material to form the inner layer 12 or 22 (see column 5, line 12). With respect to claim 15 note the use of inelastic material to form the inner layer 12 or 22 (see column 5, line 8). With respect to claim 16 note the use of elastic material to form the outer layer 11 or 21 (see column 5, line 12). With respect to claim 17 note the use of inelastic material to form the outer layer 11 or 21 (see column 5, line 8). With respect to claim 22 note the rough texture at 25 on inner layer 22.
- 4. Claims 2-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by World patent to Von Holst et al. With respect to claim 2 note inner 3,

Application/Control Number: 10/771,898 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

intermediate 4 and outer 2 layers (see Figures 1 and 2) and the frictional sliding as shown in Figure 2. With respect to claim 9 note the position shown in Figure 1. With respect to claim 18 note the use of the elastomer "polyurethane" for the inner layer 3. With respect to claim 19 note the use of polystyrene for the inner layer 3. With respect to claim 21 note the use of plastic for the outer layer 2. With respect to claim 3 note inner 3 and outer 2 layers, and flowable material 4 of air or microspheres or oil intermediate the layers 2, 3 and the sliding as shown in Figure 2. With respect to claim 4 note the use of microspheres. With respect to claim 6 note the use of oil. With respect to claim 7 note the use of air. With respect to claim 10 note the position shown in Figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over World patent to Von Holst et al. in view of Marietta. Von Holst et al. do not teach the flowable material being a gel. Marietta teaches that the use of a gel as an intermediate layer is old and well known to those of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention (see column 4, line 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to substitute the gel of Marietta for the flowable materials at 4 of Von Holst et al. to achieve a like result of enabling relative movement between the inner and outer layers.

Art Unit: 3765

- 7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over World patent to Von Holst et al. in Lovell. Von Holst et al. do not teach the inner and outer layers being attached by elastic material. Lovell (see column 5, line 2) teaches that the use of elastic material for forming attaching material as at 23 between inner and outer layers is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the attaching means at 5 of Von Holst et al. of the elastic material of Lovell to achieve a like result of effecting a resilient and slide permitting connection between the inner and outer layers.
- 8. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Von Holst et al. in view of Keltner. Von Holst et al. do not teach the inner and outer layers being attached by elastic material. Keltner teaches that the use of elastic material in the form of molded rubber in forming inner and outer layers 26, 38 and the attachment therebetween is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the inner and outer layers and thus the attachment between such layers of Von Holst et al. of the rubber elastic material of Keltner to achieve a like result of encompassing a flowable material for absorbing impacts to the head.
- 9. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over World patent to Von Holst et al. in view of Lovell. Von Holst et al. do not teach the outer layer being elastic. Lovell (see column 5, line 12) teaches that the use of elastic material for forming an outer layer 11 or 21 is old and well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the outer layer of Von Holst et al. of the elastic material of the outer layer of Lovell recognizing the expedience of employing well known shell materials to form a shell.

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note particularly, the slidable helmet layers of Von Holst et al., Madey et al., Phillips, Nakayama et al., Popovich, Sawatzki et al. and Puchalski and the enclosed impact absorbing materials of Lemelson, Thorne, Mendoza, Sperber, Lorenzi et al, Nomiuyama, German publication to Gyory, German publication to Bauer, Ross, Griffiths, Bothwell et al., Lorenzo, Cade, Werner et al. and European patent to Scheuring.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney M. Lindsey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John J. Calvert can be reached on (571) 272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney M. Lindsey Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3765